

## REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 07 June 2001  
Name of applicant/court: The District Court at Auckland  
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable  
Title of Publication: Big 'E' Preview Tape/Lesbian Enema Lovers  
Other Known Titles: Big E Previews  
Director: Not Stated  
Producer: Not Stated  
Publisher: Not Applicable  
Author: Not Applicable  
Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL  
Country of Origin: Not Stated  
Language: English

.....

### Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Big 'E' Preview Tape	Running time:	54.19
	Lesbian Enema Lovers		6.22
Trailers:		Running time:	
	Disclaimer Notice		0.02
		Total Running time:	60.43

Excision/Alteration: No.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

... Please turn over

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: Not Applicable.

.....

#### **SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:**

The video recording *Big 'E' Preview Tape/Lesbian Enema Lovers* is classified as:  
Objectionable.

The video recording promotes and supports the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes and tends to promote and support the use of violence and coercion to compel persons to participate in, and submit to, sexual conduct. The video recording is made up of short previews of video features that all depict the giving of enemas as a sexual practice. Two of these previews present female participants as young persons in their early to mid-teenage years and show them being given enemas by adults. The video encourages and validates adult sexual interest in young persons, and strengthens the idea that young persons may be exploited for adult sexual use and gratification. Several of the scenarios present the use of force and constraint, and the abuse of professional authority, in role-plays that depict the giving of enemas in a sexual context.

A classification of "objectionable" places a limit on the right to the freedom of expression as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, in relation to this publication, the classification is considered to be a reasonable limit prescribed by law that can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society.

OFLC Ref: 100516